00001	
1	
1	
2	
2	
3	
3	STATE OF TENNESSEE
4	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INSURANCE
4	
5 5	BEFORE THE TENNESSEE BOARD FOR LICENSING CONTRACTORS
6	DEFORE THE TENNESSEE BOARD FOR LICENSING CONTRACTORS
6	
7	BOARD MEETING
7	September 24, 2008
8	Deptember 21, 2000
8	Marriott Knoxville
9	500 Hill Avenue, S.E.
9	Knoxville, Tennessee
10	,
10	
11	BOARD MEMBERS
11	
12	Cindi G. Debusk, Vice Chairman
12	Keith Whittington, Secretary
13	Frank Neal, Public Member
13	Jack Ronnie Tickle, Residential
14	Cliff Hunt, Highway
14	
15	
15	STAFF MEMBERS
16	Marina Durch Harr
16 17	Wayne Pugh, Esq.
17	Counsel for the Board
18	Carolyn Lazenby
18	Executive Director
19	ENCOUCTVO DITCOCOT
19	Talise Roberts
20	Assistant Director
20	
21	
21	
22	
22	
23	
23	
24	MINUTES OF RULEMAKING HEARING
24	
25	SEPTEMBER 24, 2008
25	

00002

9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING TRANSCRIPT OF RULEMAKING HEARING The following is a transcript of the 3 minutes of the Rulemaking Hearing of the Tennessee Board for 4 Licensing Contractors, which came on to be held on this the 24th day of September 2008, Vice Chairman Cindi DeBusk presiding, the meeting being conducted at the Mariott 6 7 Knoxville, 500 Hill Avenue, S.E., Knoxville, Tennessee. * * * * * * 8 I would like to call the 9 MS. DEBUSK: 10 September 24, 2008 meeting to order. We will start with the 11 roll call to my left. 12 MR. HUNT: Cliff Hunt, Memphis. 13 MR. TICKLE: Jack Tickle, Memphis. MR. WHITTINGTON: Keith Whittington, 14 15 Johnson City. 16 MS. DeBUSK: Cindi Debusk, Knoxville. 17 MR. NEAL: Frank Neal, Maynardville. 18 MS. DeBUSK: This is our rulemaking 19 hearing. I'm going to turn it over to Wayne Pugh, chief 20 legal counsel. 21 MR. PUGH: Thank you. The rulemaking 22 hearing is called to order. My name is Wayne Pugh. I am 2.3 deputy general counsel for the Regulatory Boards Division, Department of Commerce & Insurance. Carolyn, would you and 24 25 your staff introduce themselves for the record? JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

00003 9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING MS. LAZENBY: Sure. 2 MR. PUGH: And please state your title. MS. LAZENBY: I'm Carolyn Lazenby, 3 4 Executive Director. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Kathy Holliman, 6 Administrative Assistant. 7 MS. ROBERTS: Talise Roberts, Assistant 8 Director. 9 MR. PUGH: Thank you. This is 10 Wednesday, September 24th. This rulemaking hearing is 11 taking place pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated, Section 12 4-5-204, in the Riverview Room at the Marriott Hotel, 500 13 Hill Avenue Southeast, Knoxville, Tennessee. 14 The purpose of this rulemaking hearing 15 is to solicit comments on rules proposed by the Tennessee State Board for Licensing Contractors. To add a new rule 16 17 regarding contracting in the name of -- as licensed and to 18 repromulgate rules necessary to regulate the home 19 improvement profession pursuant to Chapter 460 of the Public 20 Acts of 2007, which rolled the home improvement commission 21 into the Board for Licensing Contractors. 22 We do not have anyone wishing to make 2.3 comments on these rules, so I won't go into my paragraph 24 about that. 25 The Notice of Rulemaking Hearing, which

The Notice of Rulemaking Hearing, which JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

2.3

9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING

everyone has a copy of, included the entire text of the proposed rules and was published in the August 2008 edition of the Tennessee Administrative Register. Carolyn, what additional notice was given?

MS. LAZENBY: I also posted the notice on the board in the Department's website. A notice was sent to all the codes officials and we requested that they post it in their office for the public. We e-mailed to all who had signed up for our e-mail notification list, and also to the Trade Association. And we also made sure the Home Improvement Advisory Committee had a copy.

MR. PUGH: All right. Thank you. I will make one comment. If you will look on the last page of the rules, page 7, where it talks about the county adoption of home improvement law.

Carolyn brought a situation to my attention last week, I believe, where Johnson County is attempting to repeal their county ordinance requiring that they be licensed under the home improvement. I'm not quite sure they have got it quite right, but I'm going to suggest, because we do have other counties that may come on board and everything, and if you have another county, then you have to go into a rule making process to change it, that you delete paragraph 1 under this rule and just leave paragraph 2. The Board will keep a list which if anybody calls, they can

00005 9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING 1 request it. MR. HUNT: Could I ask a question? 3 MR. PUGH: Sure. 4 MR. HUNT: What does it take for the 5 counties to adopt it? 6 MR. PUGH: They just have to vote. 2/3 7 majority, I believe. Just as stated in the rule, by a 2/3 8 majority vote, the legislative body of the county has to 9 vote to adopt it. 10 MR. HUNT: To adopt the home 11 improvement --12 MR. PUGH: Licensing provision. I'm not 13 going to summarize and read into the record all of the 14 rules. I will ask that the court reporter make an EXHIBIT 15 of the Notice of Rulemaking Hearing. 16 (Thereupon, a copy of the Notice of 17 Rulemaking Hearing was marked and filed as EXHIBIT 1.) 18 MR. PUGH: Does anybody else have any 19 comments at all? 20 MR. HUNT: I have a question. What 21 changes could we make at this hearing? 22 MR. PUGH: You can make minor changes. 2.3 You cannot make any substantial change that is not in the Notice of Rulemaking book. If you are making a substantial 24 25 change, then we have to go renotice it and have a new JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

(865) 687-8981

00006 9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING rulemaking hearing. MR. HUNT: Would a change in the fee 3 schedule be a minor change? 4 MR. PUGH: You could make a change in 5 the fee schedule. Do we have a fee schedule in this 6 proposal? 7 MS. LAZENBY: Yes, it is on page 2. MR. PUGH: Page 2? Yes. Okay. You 8 9 could do that, but that gets into after the rulemaking 10 appeals to do that and then you discuss. Right now, the 11 rulemaking hearing is only to solicit comments. So, since 12 we have had no comments, I'm going to declare that this 13 rulemaking hearing is closed. 14 MR. NEAL: Did you get an answer to your 15 question? 16 MR. HUNT: I think so. MR. NEAL: We can't do anything is what 17 18 he is saying. 19 MR. PUGH: No, you can do some things. 20 You can do -- You can't make a substantial change, but the Notice included the fees. So, if you want to look at and 21 22 discuss some changes to what has been proposed here, you can

MR. HUNT: Did we close the public

comment part of the program?

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

(865) 687-8981

2.3

24

25

do that.

```
00007
      9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING
                         MR. PUGH: The rulemaking hearing has
 2
     been closed. It is now back to the board for discussion on
 3
     the rules.
 4
                         MR. TICKLE: The sub-committee on home
 5
      improvement has looked at this?
 6
                         MS. LAZENBY: Yes.
 7
                         MR. TICKLE: And they have reviewed it?
8
                         MS. LAZENBY: Yes.
                         MS. DeBUSK: Okay. So, do we have a
9
10
     motion to adopt the rules?
11
                         MR. HUNT: So move.
12
                         MR. TICKLE: Second.
13
                         MS. DeBUSK: Discussion?
14
                         MR. HUNT: Back to the fees (Inaudible)
15
     we are asked to today (Inaudible) aware that we are
16
     producing more fees than we have expenditures and at some
17
     point I would like to consider reducing the fees to be more
18
     closely aligned with what our actual expenditures are. And
     I don't know what that amount needs to be, but I would like
19
20
     for us to investigate that and try to determine what is more
21
     appropriate.
22
                         Primarily the renewal fee that
2.3
     replaced -- (Inaudible) -- because the application fee,
     there are expenses involved in that and (Inaudible) staff
24
      and parts of the application and the process and it be
25
```

```
80000
      9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING
 1
     placed in review, but -- (Inaudible).
                         MS. DeBUSK: Is that something that
 3
     (Inaudible)?
 4
                         MR. HUNT: I would like for us to put
 5
     together a committee. This may not be the time to make that
 6
      in the form of a motion.
 7
                         MR. PUGH: Do we have a motion to
8
     approve the rules?
9
                         MR. HUNT: Yeah.
10
                         MS. LAZENBY: Could we put it on the
11
      agenda to the next board meeting?
12
                         MR. HUNT: That would be fine.
13
                         MR. PUGH: May I make a suggestion as to
14
     the rules that someone maybe move to reconsider and make the
15
     motion to adopt the rules as published in the Notice of
16
     Rulemaking Hearing with the deletion of paragraph 1 in the
17
     last rule?
18
                         MR. HUNT: I will change my motion to
19
     reflect that. Technically, I guess paragraph 2 will become
20
     paragraph 1.
21
                         MR. PUGH: It will become the sole
22
     paragraph in that rule.
2.3
                         MR. HUNT: Sole paragraph.
                         MS. DeBUSK: Okay. Do we have a second
2.4
25
     to the motion?
               JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
                             (865) 687-8981
```

```
00009
     9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING
                         MR. HUNT: I will second it.
2
                         MR. PUGH: You need to do it by a roll
3
     call vote.
4
                         MS. DeBUSK: Okay. We will do it by
5
     roll call vote.
6
                         MR. NEAL: Do we have any other
7
     discussion about this before we vote on that motion on a
     totally different subject than that?
8
9
                         MS. DeBUSK: Okay. So, the motion on
10
     the table now is to adopt the rules?
11
                         MR. PUGH: That is not my advice.
12
                         MS. DeBUSK: Okay. Deleting the
13
     paragraph?
14
                         MR. PUGH: Right.
15
                         MS. DEBUSK: On the first paragraph?
16
                         MR. NEAL: And there has been a second
17
     to that motion. So, if you wish to discuss that motion, you
18
19
                         MR. NEAL: Okay. Well, kind of along
20
     the lines of that motion, the way I read this thing, the
21
     only thing it affects is the home improvement contractor.
22
                         MS. LAZENBY: It does, the home
23
     improvement contractor.
24
                         MR. NEAL: It has no bearing --
25
                         MS. DeBUSK: (Interposing) -- Except for
              JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
                             (865) 687-8981
```

```
00010
      9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING
 1
     the name change applied for with the contractors.
                         MR. PUGH: Right. So, all of it
 3
     except --
 4
                         MR. NEAL: (Interposing) -- Where is the
5
     name change for the contractors?
6
                         MR. PUGH: It is on page 1.
                         MR. NEAL: Page 1?
7
8
                         MS. LAZENBY: I do have one comment.
9
     Our fees do say per business location. And that is sort of
10
     how they were before. So, I interpret this to mean that if
11
      each home improvement office -- each home improvement
12
      company had more than one office, they would need more than
13
     one license. They would?
14
                         MR. PUGH: That is the way I would read
15
     that rule.
16
                         MS. LAZENBY: So, is that okay? I don't
17
     know if you all realized that.
18
                         MR. HUNT: What page?
19
                         MS. LAZENBY: It is page 2.
20
                         MR. HUNT: Is how that the fees for the
21
     contractor reads?
22
                         MS. LAZENBY: No. The contractors
23
     always have a license for each entity, no matter how many
24
      offices they have.
25
                         MR. NEAL: But the home improvement --
               JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
                             (865) 687-8981
```

00011 9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING MS. LAZENBY: Home improvement, they wouldn't be required to have a license for every office. An 3 example would be Home Depot. They would need a license in 4 every -- each county. 5 MR. PUGH: For every store. 6 MS. DeBUSK: Every store. 7 MR. TICKLE: For each store. 8 MR. PUGH: Right. Every store. The 9 Real Estate Commission, you have to have a license for each 10 office that you have. 11 MS. LAZENBY: Even though that county 12 doesn't require a license? 13 MR. PUGH: Right. I mean, just the Real 14 Estate Commission has the business location license and each 15 office has to have a license. 16 MR. NEAL: How about Lowe's and Home 17 Depot? 18 MS. LAZENBY: I don't think they are 19 aware of this because really --20 MR. HUNT: Well, they have a 21 contractor's license. They don't have a home improvement 22 license. 2.3 MS. LAZENBY: Okay. They also have a home improvement license. So, maybe the contractor -- I 24

don't know. Just make sure that the companies like that are JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

25

```
00012
     9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING
     covered and notified.
                         MR. HUNT: Is there anyone else involved
3
     in that category or -- (Inaudible) -- Sears is.
4
                         MS. LAZENBY: Yeah, Sears.
5
                         MR. HUNT: (Inaudible) -- Contractor's
     license -- (Inaudible) -- home improvement.
6
                         MR. TICKLE: Home improvement fees.
7
                         MS. LAZENBY: Some of the siding and
8
     window companies, like Champion. Some of the siding and
9
10
     window companies?
11
                         MR. HUNT: Carolyn, is that our intent?
12
     Is that our intent, to make it -- (Inaudible)
                         MS. LAZENBY: Do what?
13
14
                         MR. NEAL: (Inaudible)
15
                         MS. LAZENBY: For contractors?
16
                         MR. NEAL: Yes.
17
                         MS. LAZENBY: We needed these fees due
18
     to the -- (inaudible). We sort of needed these in place
19
     right away. So, we just went ahead and done these for now,
20
     but we will have more rulemakings because Wayne realizes now
21
     that we need several rules changed, so --
22
                         MR. PUGH: Yes, and --
2.3
                         MR. TICKLE: (Interposing) -- This
24
     number 2 is really -- (Inaudible) -- It depends on how you
     interpret it, per location. Let's just use Home Depot here.
25
              JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
                             (865) 687-8981
```

```
00013
      9/24/08 RULEMAKING HEARING
      Let's just say there is three in Knoxville and three in
     Memphis and three in Chattanooga there -- (Inaudible).
 3
                          MR. NEAL: That is a lot.
 4
                          MS. LAZENBY: It may have been because
5
      it was a bond and since each location has to have a bond,
 6
      maybe that is why they had it in there, but -- And I'm sorry
 7
      I didn't catch that sooner.
8
                          MS. DeBUSK: Just a part of the cost of
 9
      doing business for each location.
10
                          MS. LAZENBY: Should we leave it as-is?
11
      I guess we would have to.
12
                          MS. DeBUSK: Do we need to deal with
13
      the -- handle the motion that is on the table currently?
                          MR. NEAL: Call for a question. MR. PUGH: If I may, how are we handling
14
15
16
      this right now? Are we requiring a license for each
17
      location? Are we requiring a bond for each location, a
18
      separate bond?
19
                          MS. LAZENBY: Yes.
20
                          MR. PUGH: Okay.
21
                          MS. LAZENBY: Okay.
22
                          MR. PUGH: Question has been called.
23
                          MS. DeBUSK: Vote?
24
                          MR. HUNT: Cliff Hunt, yes.
25
                          MR. TICKLE: Jack Tickle, yes.
               JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
```

(865) 687-8981

00014								
	9/24/08	RULEMAKING	HEAL	RING				
1			MR.	WHITTINGTO	ON:	Keith W	hittingt	con,
2	yes.							
3			MS.	DeBUSK: 0	Cindi	Debusk	, yes.	
4			MR.	NEAL: Fra	ank N	eal, ye	S.	
5			MS.	DeBUSK:	The m	otion c	arries.	
6			MR.	PUGH: I }	belie	ve that	is all	the
7	business	in front of	f the	e board.				
8			MS.	DeBUSK: 1	Do we	have a	motion	to
9	adjourn?							
10			MR.	HUNT: So	move			
11			MR.	NEAL: Sec	cond.			
12				DeBUSK: 2	_			
13			The	reupon, the	e rul	emaking	meeting	3
14	adjourne	d.						
15			* *	* * * *				
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I do hereby certify that the foregoing
4	transcript is a true, complete, and accurate record of the
5	minutes of the rulemaking hearing of the Board for Licensing
6	Contractors.
7	I do hereby further certify that I am of
8	neither kin, counsel nor interest to any party hereto.
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	James G. Parks
13	Court Reporter
14	- -
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE